



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/801,140	03/06/2001	Robert Olan Keith JR.	ABREAU-00106 6018 EXAMINER	
28960 75	590 03/15/2004			
HAVERSTOCK & OWENS LLP 162 NORTH WOLFE ROAD			NGUYEN, CAM LINH T	
SUNNYVALE, CA 94086			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2171	15
			DATE MAILED: 03/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summan	09/801,140	KEITH, ROBERT OLAN		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
The MAU INC DATE of this communication and	CamLinh Nguyen	2171		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sneet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Fe 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final.			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 13.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa			

Art Unit: 2171

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 1 3, 6 13, 16 23, 26 33, 36 38 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Witek et al (U.S. 6,253,188)
- ◆ As per claim 1, 11, 21, 31,

Witek teaches a method of accessing information in a searchable database comprising:

- "The searchable database is formatted in a directory tree structure" See Fig. 4, col. 11, lines 20 25, col. 18, lines 1 32.
- "The directory tree structure includes nodes ... branches" See fig. 4. Each category corresponds to a node. All nodes are linked together.
- "Each related item of data is categorized by a navigation path through the directory tree structure and by one or more parameters" Witek teaches that:
 "Web sites and associated pages are prepared with HTML and include "links" to other locations and resources on the Web, the links being the features which

enables a user, as noted, to "navigate" from one point or information resource to another, thereby, providing the Web with its dynamic character (col. 23, lines 11 -22.) Therefore, the link corresponds to the path that is used to access to the resource. Witek discloses a method to category documents by identifying the parameters and associated values (col. 50, lines 56 - 67). Based on these values, the document is assigned to a category, and created link to the document.

- Each parameter is set with a corresponding value associated with the data item thereby forming a set parameter" see Fig. 3, col. 15, lines 25 26, col. 51, lines 1 11.
- Users access the directory by a query. The query includes keywords that defined the navigation path. Users access the directory by a query (See Fig. 10, Witek); therefore the navigation path is defined by a query language string.
- ♦ As per claim 2 3, 12 13, 22 23, 32 33, Witek teaches:
 - "Manually traversing the navigation path through the directory tree structure to access the node" See Fig. 8 10. A user can specify the resource by selecting a category and set up one or more set parameters as shown in Fig. 10. In other words, the data item is accessed by a navigation path and parameters set.
 - "Utilizing a selective one or more.... parametric search" "A dichotomous key search" See Fig. 3, element 70, col. 16, lines 27 50, Fig. 10, element 144 146. As defined in the Specification a "dichotomous key search" is used to instruct users given in an answer or question dialog, often yes or no answer

Art Unit: 2171

(Specification, page 18, lines 6-8). In fig. 10, Witek also gives the users the options of answer questions by checking the boxes. Therefore, this search option is corresponding to the "dichotomous key search". "A parametric search" See Fig. 10, elements 154, 158, 160, 142, col. 30, lines 10-29.

- ◆ As per claim 6, 16, 26, 36,
 - "The related data includes one or more of text, graphics, objects, links to other nodes within the directory tree structure" See Fig. 8 10, col. 23, lines 44 48, col. 24, lines 10 16 of Witek.
- ◆ As per claim 7, 17, 27, 37,
 - "The searchable database is distributed into more than one physical location"

 See Fig. 1, element 20, col. 9, lines 53 col. 10, lines 5, col. 25, lines 37 44 of

 Witek.
- ♦ As per claim 8 10, 18 20, 28 30, 38,
 - "Accessing a nodes is performed by a server" See Fig. 1, 5A, col. 25, lines 13 33 of Witek.
 - "Establishing an Internet connection with the server" See Fig. 5a, element 14, 24, col. 21, lines 15 20 of Witek.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2171

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 4. Claims 4-5, 14-15, 24-25, 34-35, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Witek et al (U.S. 6,098,066) in view of Drucker et al (U.S. 6,292,796).
- ◆ As per claim 4, 14, 24, 34,

Witek teaches a method for access information in a specific node, but does not clearly teach how the navigation path is saved as the query string.

However, Drucker, on the other hand, discloses a method for searching document by specify the navigation path, such as selecting subjects, keyword search, etc. as illustrated in Fig. 1 (col. 2 line 31 – 48, Drucker). The access mechanism includes user setup which allows user specify search preferences (col. 6 line 63 – col. 7 line 6, Drucker). Those preferences are saved for later modification (See Fig. 10 – 11, Drucker).

By saving the query or search preferences, Drucker teaches, "the navigation path is saved as query string". It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Drucker about the saving user preferences into the system of Witek, because the system of Drucker provides a great benefits in saving time for users (col. 1 line 56 – 58, Drucker). The combination of Drucker and Witek produces a convenience search engine for users, where user does not familiar with the system and does not have a lot of time for a search query.

Art Unit: 2171

♦ As per claim 5,15, 25, 35, the combination system of Witek and Drucker discloses:

The user preferences are saved in the system, so when the new data is available, the user is notified (col. 7 line 54 – 59, Drucker). The user does not need to manually traverse the navigation path to search for information.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 - 38 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - Monberg et al (U.S. 6,523,021) discloses a business directory search engine.
 - Vanderpool et al (U.S. 5,781,773) discloses a method for transforming and storing data for search and display and a searching system utilized therewith.
- 2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CamLinh Nguyen whose telephone number is 305-1951. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday from 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic, can be reached on (703) 308- 1436. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 2171

Page 7

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 305-3900.

Cam-Linh Nguyen Art Unit 2171

LN

WAYNE AMSBURY PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER